Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/046,968	HOLTKAMP, REINHOLD
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Wendy C Haas	1661
All Participants: Status of Application:		
(1) Wendy C Haas.	(3)	•
(2) Attorney Richard Peete.	(4)	
Date of Interview: 19 November 2004	Time: 3:30 p.m.	
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Appli Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description:	cant's representative)	
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed: Enablement and Written Description Rejections of Record		
Claims discussed: All		
Prior art documents discussed: None		
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GEN See Continuation Sheet	ERAL NATURE OF WHAT	TWAS DISCUSSED:
Part III.		
 ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above. 		
Motton		
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)		

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: The Examiner contacted applicant's representative to note that Allowable subject matter has been indicated. Applicant's attorney declined to amend the application to place it in condition for allowance. Mr. Peete and the Examiner discussed the breadth of the claims and how the Wands factors apply to the present claims. Both Mr. Peete and the Examiner agreed that a personal interview may be needed at a later date to resolve outstanding issues in this case regarding the breadth of the claims..